

PETER GOODMAN
Attorney at Law
State Bar No. 65975
400 Montgomery Street, Second Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 781-8866
Facsimile: (415) 781-2266
E-Mail: goodmanlawoffice@att.net

Attorney for Defendant
DANIEL SANTIAGO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR-12-0665 RS
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
)	ORDER VACATING HEARING DATE AND
vs.)	BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION TO
)	DISMISS, SETTING HEARING DATE AND
DANIEL SANTIAGO,)	BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON <i>DAUBERT</i>
)	MOTION AND EXCLUDING TIME
Defendant.)	PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §§3161(h)(7)(A)
)	AND (h)(7)(B)(iv) OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL
)	ACT

The United States of America, by its attorneys, Melinda Haag, United States Attorney for the Northern District of California, and Special Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") Marc Price Wolf, and defendant DANIEL SANTIAGO, by his attorney, Peter Goodman, hereby submit this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order requesting that the Court vacate the briefing schedule and the hearing date of October 8, 2013, set on August 30, 2013, for a defense motion to dismiss this case. Instead, the parties request that the Court set a briefing schedule and hearing date for a defense *Daubert* motion to exclude the results of the DNA testing performed by the Serological Research Institute which the government will seek to introduce at the third trial it intends to pursue. The parties believe that the decision on the *Daubert* motion will have a significant impact on the third trial. The parties believe the *Daubert* motion should be heard before the

1 motion to dismiss because the outcome of the *Daubert* motion will affect the evidence
2 the government intends to introduce at the third trial and the defendant's argument that
3 a third trial is inappropriate. The parties are proposing the following briefing schedule
4 for the *Daubert* motion: 1) the defense will file its opening brief on October 18, 2013;
5 2) the government will file its opposition on November 15, 2013; 3) the defense will file
6 its reply brief on November 22, 2013; 4) a hearing on the motion will occur on Tuesday,
7 December 3, 2013, at 2:00 p.m. The parties are requesting a lengthy briefing schedule
8 owing to the complexity of the issues involved in amplified DNA testing, the need to
9 obtain expert declarations on the acceptance of such testing in the scientific community
10 and the need for additional DNA testing on any remaining DNA samples that may exist.

11 On August 30, 2013, when the briefing schedule and hearing date on a defense
12 motion to dismiss were set by this Court, the defendant did not agree to an exclusion of
13 time. Immediately after appearing before this Court, defendant SANTIAGO appeared
14 before Magistrate/Judge Cousins who released him to the Geo Care halfway house on
15 a \$50,000 personal recognizance bond with a condition requiring him to remain there
16 except to meet with counsel and appear in court. Given his release from custody, the
17 defendant is agreeable to excluding time from the date of this Stipulation until the date
18 all pretrial motions in his case are decided.

19 SO STIPULATED

20 DATED: September 20, 2013

21
22 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

23
24 By: /s/
25 MARC WOLF
Assistant United States Attorney

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 SO STIPULATED

2 DATED: September 20, 2013

3
4 /s/

5 PETER GOODMAN
6 Attorney for Defendant
7 DANIEL SANTIAGO

8 ORDER VACATING HEARING DATE AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE
9 ON MOTION TO DISMISS, SETTING HEARING DATE AND BRIEFING
10 SCHEDULE ON *DAUBERT* MOTION AND EXCLUDING TIME PURSUANT
11 TO 18 U.S.C. §§3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) OF THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

12 Based on the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY
13 ORDERED that the briefing schedule and hearing date on the defense motion to dismiss
14 set on August 30, 2013, are hereby vacated. Instead, the following briefing schedule
15 and hearing date are set on the defense *Daubert* motion: 1) the defense will file its
16 opening brief on October 18, 2013; 2) the government will file its opposition on November
17 15, 2013; the defense will file its reply brief on November 22, 2013; 4) a hearing on the
18 motion will occur on Tuesday, December 3, 2013, at 2:00 p.m.

19 Based on the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the Court
20 finds that time should properly be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§3161(h)(7)(A) and
21 (B)(iv) of the Speedy Trial Act from September 20, 2013, until the date all pretrial motions
22 in this case are decided in the interests of justice and to ensure effective assistance of
23 counsel.

24 DATED: 9/20/13

25 

26 RICHARD SEEBORG
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
28